App. F. IX-4.

Preserve

1925.

File No. 81-25

Previous references. (LB)

Serial Nos. 2, 7212

Later references.

Con 71-93-GB

Con 36-26 (24.46)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for Aug 26.

SUBJECT

Contribution of a Sum of Rs 4.000/- from the District funds for the Construction of a High School at Nanjangud

12 To, The President, Dist. Bd. Mysore & the Comptroller No. L 1297-8/d 21.8.26.

Sanctions the proposal referred to above.

30.8.26.

10324

192 —192

From

The President,
District Board of Mysore,
Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore.
Local and Legislative Departments

Dated 7th August 25.

Despatched 8.VIII do

Received

General No. C 826 L.B 24-25.

Enclosure No. nil

Subject

Requesting sanction of Government for contributing a sum of Rs. 4000 from District Funds towards the construction of the High School at Nanjangud.

1) Lubd with a draft G.O. Sanctioning the Contribution.' (the power of the Govt in this L&L file No 53 of 24-25 - perhaps below)

B&F 15/8/25 HK 16/8/25

L. L. Secy) 17/8/25

2) Recein (a) such sums are sanctd from Edn Cess funds & in consultn with (b) Hd of Chair in Public Works

1736 21/8

20/8/25

sd 25/8/25

24/8/25

Serial No

3) This may be sent to the Educal Secretariat for a note with reference to the Second Member's query at para 2 of the note

LB 2.5.25 HK 2585 — L 2 Secy) 2/5/25 Yes 2/5/25

Ed Secy) 31.8 31/8

4) The non-recurring grant towards the Construction of a building for the Thana School at Ranjangad is estimated at Rs 37,000 exclusive of the public Contribution to the extent of Rs 13,000/. Vide para 2 of G.O. nos. E 327-32 Edn 20-24-18 dt 28.7.25 sanctg the opening of the IV form Thana School class at Ranjangad. The non-recurring grant for the Thana School building to be met by Govt will decrease to the extent of funds made available from other Sources. Under existing orders, the P.W. Dept will execute all original works of educational building the estimated cost of which exceeds Rs 5000/- and provision being made in the budget of the P.W.D. for works to be carried out by that Dept. Vide G.O.S noted in the margin. Educational Cess so far has mainly devoted for purpose of Primary & Middle School educational & Cannot expenditure of on Thana School buildings does not seem to be a legitimate charge on Cess funds. Refer para 2 of note.

11.9.25 5) What are the general lines

A

No: E 4106-10 Edn 48-13-25 dt 1.3.24 — para 2(iv)

& G.O. no E 6701-4 Edn 28-24-56 dt 14.5.25

Note—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

1. 3) This may be sent to the Educal Secretariat for a note with reference to the Second Member's query at para 2 of the note

SBB 25/8/25 JHK 25/8/25

2 Yes 26/8/25

SB 31.8

SB 31/8

4) The non-recurring grant towards the Construction of a building for the High School at Ranjangad is estimated at Rs 37,000 exclusive of the public Contribution to the extent of Rs 13,000/. Vide para 2 of G.O. nos. E 327-32 Edn Dt. 24-1-18 & 28.7.25 sanctg the opening of the IV Form High School class at Ranjangad. The non-recurring grant for the High School building to be met by Govt will decrease to the extent of funds made available from other Sources. Under existing orders, the P.W. Depth will execute all original works of Educational buildings the estimated Cost of which exceeds Rs 5000/ provision being made in the budget of the P.W.D for works to be carried out by that Depth. Vide G.O.S noted in the margin. Educational Cess has so far been mainly devoted for purposes of Primary & Middle School education & expenditure on High School buildings does not seem to be a legitimate charge on Cess funds. Refce para 2 of note.

5) What are the general orders

A

Govt: E 4106-10 Edn 48-13-25 dt 1.3.24 — para 2(iv)

G.O. no E 6701-4 Edn 24-24-56 dt 14.5.25

Note—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

6) Kind reference is invited to paragraphs 60 & 62 of G.O. dt 25.5.25 on the Education Memorandum. There is no Mun general order on the subject of utilization of education cess funds.

Ben
26.9.25

7) I remember the Hassan DB Board has raised some objections in the matter of utilization of cess funds. Please send up that file for reference.

SRS
9/10

Recd on 8.10 SRS

8) Returned with the following files:

(1) Edn 31-22 sls 13 to 15 & 18 to 30 Sanctg proposals for the utilization of Education Cess in the Hassan Dt

(2) Edn 44-23 re: objection of Hassan Dt Board to place at the disposal of the Education Deptt the sum of Rs 5,000 out of the accumulated balance of L.F. Grant & Institutions resumed by Govt towards educational buildings.

Ben
23.10.25

9) The reforms contemplated in the orders on the Education Memorandum were intended to be financed partly by levying an Education cess and partly by resuming certain other sources of income etc. Though it has not been definitely stated in the above orders that the realisations of the Education Cess will be utilised only on Primary and Middle school grades of Education, still in practice the amounts realised in the Districts which have levied cess have been utilised for effecting improvements only in the above grades of Education. The Hassan District Board objected to any portion of this amount

Q

* Flag D.

* This has to be withdrawn from the Dt Secy & has to be returned to him vide Ben dis file may be detached & sent back. Linked file

* Programme to the full amount of Rs 27,500 intended for educational buildings out of the L.F. Grant & Institutions resumed from the respective Dt Board, has been duly finalised vide programme appd in G.O. No: Edn 48-23-15 dt 15.12.23 & the Education Cess is ordered to be levied in respective Dts from 1.7.25

Ben
27.10.25

Notes—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

being utilised for recurring expenditure on Middle school grade of Education. But this was not accepted by the Government. But in none of the Districts (Boards) has the cess amount been utilised for improvements in the High School grade of Education.

(i) A portion of the accumulated cess collections in these Districts has been utilised for non-recurring expenditure on equipment and construction of buildings. But even here, no portion of the amount has been allotted for meeting the requirements of Hig School Education proper. Though, as pointed out above there is no stipulation in the orders on the Education Memorandum against any portion of the amount being utilised for expenditure - recurring or non-recurring - on High School Education, still in practi no amount has been spent on this grade of education and it is not therefore desirable to make a departure in this case.

(ii) Moreover, Education cess is levied in the Mysor District only from the current year and as the Land Revenue will be collected only from January onwards, it is probable that there is no amount at the credit of cess funds in the District. In the above circumstances, the District Board may be permitted to contribute Rs.4,000/- from the general District Funds towar the cost of the High School building at Nanjangud.

(Chajee)

(2) I agree

2nd Member

Note—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

5987/Edn 1932

D. B. File No. 81 of 1925

Serial No.

13) The amount may be met from the District Fund proper as already sanctioned by the District Board and recommended by the President.

(Illegible)

28/1
3/11/25

4100
3/11

14) This may be allowed as an advance from the ~~Edn Cess~~ District Board Fund & credited to D.B. Fund by debit to Edn Cess Fund after the Collected

(Illegible)

Dr
4/11

(Illegible)

29.9
7/11/25.

28
5/11

15) I am definitely of opinion that Education cess funds should not be utilised for other than primary and middle schools.

16) The proposal therefore of an advance from District Funds (General) to the Nanjangud High School to be subsequently debited to Education Cess Funds militia

as the

Serial No

the construction and repair of all buildings connected with these institutions" (vide Section 8, clause (c) of Chapter III of the Mysore Local Boards and Village Panchayet Regulations 1918). The term 'schools' here is wide enough to cover High schools as well as Primary and Middle Schools.

SECRETARIAT OFFICE
RECEIVED 19 DEC. 1925
No. 14570

18) The request of the District Board of Mysore for sanction to contribute a sum of Rs.4,000/- from District Funds towards the cost of the Nanjangud High School building may be granted without any condition being imposed of a subsequent repayment from the Education Cess Funds.

Dewan

19)

Extra Members may kindly see the Dewan's order before 21/1/26 Secy to the L.S.G. Branch

20) May this be read to the L.B. Section? (Vide para 3 notes above).

22.1.26. L.B.

Read 25/1/26

2nd Member

23/1

2) (a) L.L. Section may please note whether there has been any similar grant to a High School from Dist Board funds - and also, if possible, (b) ascertain demi-official

658/25/1/26

Note—Contn. Sheet

1032

App. F. III—2]

WD 0569—GPB—[illegible]

File No. of 192 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

From Madras Govt whether District Boards have been permitted to contribute to Educational Institutions not under their control.

Ans 26/1/26

Reference: para 21 (a) supra.

The present proposal of the Mysore Dt Board to contribute Rs 4000 for the purpose, is the first of its kind that has come up to Govt for sanction under para 21 (b). A D.O letter to the Asst Secretary, Madras Govt for information is put up for appl.

Issue

PR 27/1/26

Issue

29/1

Issued. vide 5243 of 29.1.26. To Asst Secy Local Self-Govt Depts. Madras. Enquiries whether municipalities & DBds in the Madras Presidency are permitted to contribute out of their funds to educational institutions which are not under their control

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

25/ Submd for orders as to whether this file may be kept in Kus' till the receipt of a reply from the Madras Govts.

Refer pages 21-23

Letter Notes

BB MM 1/2/46 JB 2/2

Lees 1/2

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25
App. F. [II—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

L/3 File No. 81 of 192 20

Serial No.

G.O. No 2789 I/A1/Lab. dt. 20.3.26 from the Secretary L.S.G. Bd. dept. Madras forwards Copies of 3 G.Os in regard to Contributions by Local Bodies to Ed. Institutions.

Refer 2nd Members Minutes at (2) para 21 supra.

The 2 G.Os dt. 10.8.21 and 5.11.21 relate to the question under Consideration. It would appear from them that no portion of a Municipal or a Local Board Fund can be applied to any Ed. Institution unless sanctioned by Govt. Nandyal High School is a sanctioned by Govt. & is therefore free from the disability referred to in the Madras G.O.

(3) The proposal for the Contribution of Rs 1000 by the L.B. Bd & the Nandyal High School has had the approval of the Extra Member & Dewan (paras 17, 18 & 19 above) may the draft already in file, approved by the Secy be passed for issue? Orders for Secy.

Sd/ 30/3 As section 27(1) stands the expenditure does not seem to be permissible.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

On the strength of a minute made by the late 1st member Mr. Amir Hussain in connection with Bangalore water supply schemes expenditure outside the District Board areas has been held to be permissible. Agreeable to this view sanctions were recently accorded to contributions from certain of Boards for the present Approved Conference held in Bangalore

Ag.

31/3

1st. Member.

All the proposals may be sanctioned. As the present kind of Boards are proposed to be abolished, some latitude is desirable.

Intd. K.C.
14/3/26.

Drafts please.

Intd. T.K.S.
14-3-26.

The draft Gos. in the file may be passed for issue.

16/3/26 17/3

17/3

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 192 .

Serial No

12

1247-8 & 21. & 26

The P+ Of Board ...
... Controller
Borrowings ...

B 4/8/26



NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25
App. F. III—2].

12

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

The files placed below relate to the following subjects:--

+Flag A

(1) Proposals for contribution towards the construction of Nanjangud High School by the District Board of Mysore (Rs.4,000), of Rs.1000 each by the Taluk Boards of Gundlupet and Nanjangud, of Rs.500 each by the Taluk Boards of T.Narasipur and Chamarajnagar and of Rs.1000 by the Nanjangud Municipal Council.

X Flag P. X Flag N.

X Flag O. X Flag J. Flag N.

+Flag B.

(2) Proposal of the Thirthahalli Municipality for contributing Rs.2000 to the local High School.

+Flag C.

(3) Proposals of the Taluk Boards of Chennagiri and Sagar in the Shimoga District and of certain Taluk Boards in the ~~Bangalore~~ Dunkin District to contribute towards the Ayurvedic conference held at Bangalore.

+Flag D.

(4) Proposal of the ~~Nanjangud~~ Rajnagar Taluk Board to contribute Rs.50 to the Mysore District Scout Fund.

+Flag E.

(5) Proposal of the Kolar Taluk Board to contribute towards the Kolar Town Bank.

Under Section 27(1) of the Local Boards and Village Panchayets Regulation, it has been the practice to allow the District Boards to contribute towards objects specified in ~~the~~ Sections 8, 11 and 21 of the Regulation within the area subject to the authority of the District Board. So there is no difficulty for the District Board contributing Rs.4000 to the Nanjangud High School. As the section worded at present and literally interpretted, they cannot spend money outside the area except "to the payment of expenses attending to the audit of the accounts of the Local Boards as hereinafter provided."

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

eneral and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 192 . 44

Serial No.

In connection with the regularisation of expenditure incurred by the Taluk Boards of Kadur and Mudgere towards the District Conference and the Mudgere Taluk Board towards Panchama Conference held in Mysore (L.B.File 99 of 23), the then member in charge observed on 20th February 1924 that there was some mistake in the wording and that outside the area of the Board any expenditure in relation to matters specified in the Section can be incurred with the sanction of Government. The erratum slip proposed by him was however held as not feasible as the correction related to the amendment of a Regulation for which the procedure was not suitable. It has been the practice accordingly to sanction expenditure by District Boards outside the area with the sanction of Government. So the proposal of the District Board of Mysore to contribute Rs. 4000 to the Nanjangud High School may be justified under the rulings a practice referred to above.

If the precedent set up in the above file is to be followed, even the Taluk Boards may incur expenditure in regard to matters specified therein even outside the area with the sanction of Government.

But Section 27(2) says that the Taluk Fund shall be applicable to the payment in whole or in part of the charges incidental to the matters specified in Sections 10 and 21 "within the area subject to the authority of the Taluk Board. There is no mention in this sub-section of "area outside the Taluk Board."

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

Flag R.

In the xxxx/Local Board File 4 of 1925 it has been accordingly held that the Taluk Board cannot spend money outside the Taluk Board area and the proposal of the Nagamangala Taluk Board to contribute Rs.50 towards the Mysore District Scout Fund was accordingly vetoed under the orders of t the present Member in charge. The District Board have asked for a reconsideration of the orders.

Flag E.

In connection with the proposal of the Kolar Taluk Board to contribute Rs.500 for the Town Hall at Kolar, the present 2nd Member, while member in charge of this Secretariat has noted as follows:--

Flag M.

"Section 27(2) very literally construed may be considered to be against the proposal. But I am not sure if the recommendation is really again against the law. It is the Kolar Taluk Board ~~whi~~ -which- isxpxxxxxxxxxxxx -proposing-to-give-a-grant -and-it-cannot-be-stated-that-the-Board-is-not- interested in a Town Hall in the Kolar Town. The Head quarter of the Board are the Town of Kolar and the Local and Legislative Secretary is requested to state if the proposal is against the law.

(sd) M.N.K. 23-4-1926."

In connection with the proposal of the Mysore District Board to a grant to the construction of Nanjangud High School, the present Member in charge noted as follows on 24th August 1925.

Flag A.

"I believe such sums are sanctioned from Education Cess Funds and in consultation with the Inspector General of Education? --Not a charge on public bodies."

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

Serial No.

The Extra Member said that this was not a charge on Education Cess Fund and District Board may be permitted to contribute from their Funds. He was also not agreeable to the procedure proposed by the member on 4th November 1925 of the amount being allowed as an advance from the ~~District~~ District Board Fund and credited to District Board Fund by debit to Education Cess Fund after it is collected."

~~The Member thereafter wanted to know (1) whether there has been any similar grant to a High School from District Board Funds and also if possible to ascertain demi officially from Madras Secretariat whether District Boards have been permitted to contribute to the educational institutions not under their control.~~

In connection with the proposal of the Thirthahalli Municipal Council the Member stated as follows:--

Flag L. "I had raised this question whether Madras allows this re: Nanjangud.

In making the reference say either Municipalities or District Board and for institutions not under them."

X Flag H Proceedings issued by the Madras Government have ~~xxxx~~ been received.

The Branch has not been able to put up any precedent for any District Board in the State having contributed to the construction of a High School.

Flag S. The Municipal Council of Davangere was allowed to purchase lands from out of their funds for the construction of a High School at Davangere.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

Serial No.

Flag K

As regards the practice in Madras in G.O. of 22nd July 1924, it is stated that till then that Government had declined to permit local bodies to contribute towards the maintenance of any education institution subsidised by Government but they are pleased from that date to permit local bodies to contribute towards the maintenance of educational institutions and with the sanction of Government from the Provincial Funds provided that the institution is a sanskrit school or special school for defectives which obtains pupils from all over the Presidency and the contribution plus the Government Grant does not exceed half the net cost of the institution.

This does not speak of capital charges—only refers to maintenance charges.

The point for consideration is whether which of the proposals can be sanctioned in consonance with the existing provisions of law here and also practice here and Madras.

If the Madras practice is to be followed, grant for constructing schools may not be possible unless maintenance is said to include construction" also which may not be so interpreted.

The several proposals have therefore to be decided in accordance with the law and practice in our State.

As regards the practice the office has not been able to put up any precedent for a District Board contributing towards the construction of a High School. But under the interpretation of the previous First Member it has been

Serial No.

the practice to allow District Boards to contribute to the expenditure of matters specified in Sections 8, 10 and 21 even outside the area with the sanction of Government. This may perhaps be followed as the object of construction of schools would fall within 8(c) of the Local Boards and Village Panchayets Regulation. 8(j) is wide enough to allow it to contribute towards matters pertaining to health, comfort, convenienc interest or welfare of the public under one or other of which the proposals of the District Board generally fall.

As regards Municipal Councils, there is a precedent for ~~xxxxxx~~x it in the Davangere High school and Section 54(c) of the Municipal Regulation seems to permit of this being done. This may perhaps be allowed.

As regards Taluk Boards, Sub-Section (2) of Section 27 as literally interpreted, it cannot incur any expenditure outside the area. But som of the objects taken up by a Municipal Council or a District Board or started by Government may als happen to be undertaken or accomplished within the Taluk Board area and benefit the people living within the area of a Taluk Board in common with the people living within the Municipal area or District Board. It seems therefore that in such matters the Taluk Board may be allowed to contribute. Recently the Taluk Board of Sereinga patam was allowed to contribute to coronation Hall formerly started by the Municipal Council and public subscription and which could not be completed with the Fund collected from these

flag A

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

sources only. This seems to be the opinion of the present 2nd Member as noted by him in the proposal of the Kolar Taluk Board for the construction of a Town Hall in the Kolar Town. This may be sanctioned also as in the case of Seringapatam Coronation Hall. But the proposal of the Taluk Boards of Chamarajnagar and Gundlupet may not fall under the above category and so may not be sanctioned. So also the subscription ~~from~~ for District Scout Fund by a Taluk Bd though contribution for a Taluk Scout Fund may be upheld.

Regarding contribution to the Ayurvedic Conference at Bangalore, ~~this may not be justifiable as regards Taluk Boards of Chennagiri and Sagar~~ as the conference may be considered as having been held in the Taluk Board area of Bangalore as well contribution by Taluk Bds other than the Bangalore Taluk Board seems to be not permissible.

For District Conferences, there are specific orders about the function of the District Board and Taluk Board and the sums to be spent by each has been defined and the source also. This has perhaps to be followed as has been done in the recent District Conference at Mysore and no departure seems called for until the existing orders are modified.

L R Rees) 30/6

Separate each proposal & deal in respect of each. It is better to divide the proposals into two classes - (one dealing with the funds of Taluk Bds & the other with DC Bds) J.S.

Please bring it at Bangalore J.S. 1.7.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

App.F.VI G1.] 21 B650

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 192

Date of issue 29/1/26

Signed by M.T.S.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section

File No.

Serial No.

D. No. 5443

To

The Assistant Secretary
Local Self Govt Dept
Madras

Dear Sir,

I shall feel highly obliged if you will kindly let me know, at your early convenience, whether the Municipalities and District Boards in the Madras presidency are permitted to contribute, out of their own Funds, to educational institutions which are not under their control.

Yours sincerely

29/1

27/1/26

Asst Secy

over

L.S. Dept

The word "Municipalities" is inserted in the draft in accordance with the Members orders at paras 6 & 7 of the Notes in the File relating to the contribution for the Thirthahalli High School by the Municipalities of Thirthahalli.

BB 5/11/26 29/11/26 29/11

Serial No

1833
13/8

The law as it stands at present does not permit Taluq Bds incurring any expenditure outside their area while the Bds have power to incur such expenditure with the sanction of govt.

But the relaxation of this rule is made in the case of T. Bds contributing sums for objects mentioned in the list in the municipal areas within the Taluq on the ground of its utility to the residents of the Taluq.

The above proposals are submitted for orders.

1st member

All the proposals made in para 5 of the previous Kistna Board are supported to be abolished. Some latitude is desirable.

14/6

15/8.

14/8

38531

No. C. 826 CS
24-25
Encl. One.

Office of the President, District Board,
Mysore District.
Dated, Mysore, 13th/16th March 1926.

From

A.V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,

President, District Board, Mysore.

To

H. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local & Legislative Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.L.4326 dated the 14th December 25 regarding the grant of Rs.4000 from District funds towards the cost of constructing a High School at Nanjangud, I have the honour to submit herewith an extract from the proceedings of the District Board passed at their meeting held on the 6th March 26 and to request that early sanction of the Government may be kindly obtained to the above.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

President,

District Board of Mysore.

BSS.

Proceedings of the District Board of Mysore passed at a Meeting held at / p. m. on Saturday the 6th March 1926

A. Ex. Officio Members.

1. The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District (President).
2. The Executive Engineer, Mysore Division, Mysore.
3. The District Forest Officer, Mysore District, Mysore.
4. The Assistant Commissioner, Mysore Sub Division.
5. The District Medical Officer, Mysore District.
6. The District Inspector of Schools, Mysore District.
7. The Assistant Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Mysore Division.

B. Elected Members.

1. Elected by Taluk Boards.

8. Mr. T. S. Subba Rao, Landholder, Tippur, Yedatore Taluk.
9. „ Bore Gowda, Landholder, Saligrama, Yedatore Taluk.
10. „ G. Mallanna, Patel & Landholder, Nagavala, Mysore Taluk.
11. „ R. Devaiya, Shanbogue, Harohalli, Mysore Taluk.
12. „ Mir Raza Alli Sab, Merchant, Periyapatna, Hunsur Taluk.
13. „ Chowde Gowda, Patel, Chikka Hunsur, Hunsur Taluk.
14. „ M. Subba Rao, Contractor, Magge, Heggadadevanakote Taluk.
15. „ E. Krishnappa, Landholder, Nanjangud, Nanjangud Taluk.
16. „ P. Papanna, Merchant, Nanjangud, Nanjangud Taluk.
17. „ ~~Channabasappa, Sowcar, Kabbahalli, Gundlupet Taluk.~~ Vacant
18. „ Doraswamiengar, Landholder, Chamarajanagar.
19. „ Chowda Setty, Landholder, Chamarajanagar.
20. „ G. Venkataramana Setty, Merchant, T. Narasipur.
21. „ P. R. Lakshmi Naranappa, Landholder, Mugur.
22 „ Venkatappa alias Chikkanna, Merchant, Malavalli.
23 „ Chikka Subbaiya, Jamindar, Halagur, Malavalli Taluk.
24 „ G. Devojee Rao, Pleader, Mandya, Mandya Taluk.
25 „ K. Papaiya Chetty, Landholder, Mandya.

26. Mr. A. Narasimhachar, Landholder, Nayasinganahalli, Krishnarajapet Taluk.

27. „ Ayachita Narayana Dikshit, Inamdar, Gudehosahalli, Krishnarajapet Taluk.

28. „ B Narasinga Rao, Pleader, Seringapatam.

29. „ Sidaliuga Devaru, Landholder, Seringapatam.

30. „ Gundappa, Landholder, Devalapura, Nagamangala Taluk.

31. „ Mahamad Gows Sab, Landholder, Nagamangala Taluk.

II. Elected by Municipal Councils.

32. „ H. C. Dasappa, B.A., L.L.B., Mysore City Municipal Council.

33. „ H. V. Hanumantha Setty, Hunsur Municipal Council.

34. „ Kundi Hutchaiya, Malavalli Municipal Council.

35. „ K. Venkatasamiyar, B. A., B. L., Nanjangud Mi. Council.

36. „ Dyavaiya, Landholder, Seringapatam, Municipal Council.

37. „ ~~T. Venkatasw[illegible]maiya, Landholder, Chamarajanagar.~~ Vacant

III. Elected by Recognised Association.

38. „ B. K. Ramakrishnaiya, ~~B. A., B. L~~ M.A. L.L.B, Bar Association, Mysore.

39. „ Lakshmipathaiya, Jaina Education Fund Association.

iV. Elected by Inamdars.

40. „ M. K. Krishna Rao, Inamdar, Pleader, Mysore.

C. Nominated Members other than Ex-Officio.

41. „ C. Narasimhaiah, B. A., B. L., Advocate, Mysore.

42. „ ~~M~~ S. Krishna Rao, B. A., L. L. B., Advocate, Mysore.

43. „ Captain V. L. Wynyard Wright, Manager, Hunsur works.

44. „ Reverend Father D'Silva, Catholic Priest, Doranahalli.

45. „ M. C Linge Gowda, Landholder, Maddur, ~~Mysore~~ Taluk.

46. „ Mahamad Sait, Merchant, Doddapet, Mysore.

47. „ Devojee Rao, Landholder, Yedatore.

48. „ Biramkhan Sab, Landholder, Kiragaval, Malavalli Taluk.

All were present except Nos. 2, 3, 25, 27, 29, 32, 40, 43, 46 and 47 Vacant 17, 37.

xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx

3. Local and Legislative Secretary's letter No.4326 dated the 14th December 25 stating that the matter of sanctioning the grant of Rs.40[illegible] towards the construction of a High School at Nanjangud is under consideration and that early orders will issue.

Resolution:- The Board requests that sanction may be accorded early.

K. N. N. Press, Mysore.

xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx

"EXTRACT"

G. Mallamma

President,
District Board of Mysore.

39639

Local Self Government Department,

D.O.No. 2789-1/A.1/L & M

Fort St. George,
24th March 1926

56631
27.3.26

L. & REV. SECTION
25 MAR 1926

Dear Sir,

Subject - contributions by local bodies to educational institutions not under their control

****

With reference to your D.O.No.L.5443, dated the 29th January 1926,

G.O.No.1383 L & M, dated the 10th August 1921
G.O.No.2196 L & M, dated the 5th November 1921
G.O.No.2013 L & M, dated the 22nd July 1924.

I enclose copies of the marginally noted proceedings of the Government, which furnish the required information.

Yours sincerely,

To

The Assistant Secretary,
General and Revenue Secretariat,
Bangalore.

Sectt. II-15 (a)—24,550—9-12-24

96

Received ..... 192 *Registered* 192

Abstract.

Local Self Government **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 2013 L & M , **dated** the 22nd July **1924.**

ENCLOSURES.

No.....

.....

READ the following papers :—

Order :

The Government have hitherto declined to permit local bodies to contribute towards the maintenance of any educational institution which was subsidised by Government. In modification of this they are pleased to permit local bodies to contribute towards the maintenance of educational institutions ceiving aid from Provincial funds provided that -

(i) the institution is a Sanskrit school or a special school for defective which obtains pupils from all over the Presidency; and

(ii) such contribution together with the grant paid by Government does not exceed one-half of the net cost of the institution.

The sanction of Government should be obtained in such case.

(By Order of the Government, Ministry of Local Self Government)

P. L. Moore,
Secretary to Government.

Government of Madras

LOCAL-SELF-GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT

(Local and Municipal

G.O. No. 1583, L. & M., 10th August 1921

Education

Special order—application of municipal funds—educational institutions—issued

---

Order—No. 1583, L. & M., dated 10th August 1921.

Under rule 37 of Schedule IV of the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920, the Government issue the following special order :—

"No portion of a municipal fund shall be applicable to the purpose of maintaining or aiding any educational institution which is not recognized or approved by the Government, the District Educational Council or any other authority duly authorized by the Government in this behalf to grant such recognition or approval."

(By order of the Government, Ministry of Local Self-Government)

F. J. RICHARDS,
Secretary to Government.

To Chairmen of all Municipal Councils.
,, all Collectors.
,, the Director of Public Instruction
,, the Law (Legislative) Department.
,, the Law (Education) Department.
,, the Public Department.
,, the Finance Department.
,, the Examiner of Local Fund Accounts.
,, the Accountant-General.
,, the Publicity Officer.
,, the Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards.

28

Government of Madras

LOCAL SELF-GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT

(Local and Municipal)

G.O. No. 2196, L. & M., 5th November 1921

Rules

Education—Application of the funds of local boards—Confirmed.

Order—No. 2196, L. & M., dated 5th November 1921.

In G.O. No. 1660, L. & M., dated 23rd August 1921, the Government published for criticism a draft rule which they proposed to make under sections 112 (2) and 199 (2) (*a*) of the Madras Local Boards Act, 1920, in regard to the application of the funds of local boards. The rule is now confirmed.

The appended notification will be published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

(By order of the Government, Ministry of Local Self-Government)

F. J. RICHARDS,
Secretary to Government.

To all Presidents of District Boards.
,, the Accountant-General.
,, the Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards.
,, the Director of Public Instruction.
,, the Law (Education) Department.
,, the Public Department.
,, the Finance Department.
,, the Examiner of Local Fund Accounts.
,, the Publicity Officer.
Gazette.

APPENDIX.

NOTIFICATION.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sections 112 (2) and 199 (2) (*a*) of the Madras Local Boards Act, 1920, the Government are pleased to make the following rule regarding the application of the funds of local boards :—

Rule.

No portion of the funds of a local board shall be applicable to the purpose of maintaining or aiding any educational institution which is not recognized or approved by the Government, the District Educational Council or any other authority duly authorized by the Government in this behalf to grant such recognition or approval.

G.R..S VI G-34]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 1032

Section : LB

File No. 81-25

Serial No. 1

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 21/8/26

Signed by M.T.K.S

Read—

Correspondence ending with the letter No. L4906/LB 93-4-2 dated 10 addressed to the Dist Board Ellore, stating that the grant of Rs 4000 by the Dt Board for the purpose of constructing a building for a High School at Navajanpo would be considered after the sum is given by Government for opening of a High School in the place.

Order Thereon No. [illegible] was conveyed by Govt

No. G.O. No. E. 324-32/Bd 80-24-18 dated 28.7.1925 sanctioning the opening of a High School at Navajanpo with

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

effect from 1.4.1925

3. Letter No C 826/1 dated 7.8.25 from the Pst, Dir Board eligng, requesting under the circumstances stated, sanction to the contribution of £ 4000/- from Dist Board funds towards the cost of the High School Building at Navigampd, the cost being met from the lump sum provision of Rs 50,000 included under 8 B. Public Works in the Dist Board Budget for 1925-26.

List of enclosures.

Order

Sanctioned. The amount may be met from the amount provided for Public Works in the current year's budget of the Dist Bd. WS 17/8

BB 15/8/25 WS 18/8. Letters issued 16/8/25

Copied by

Examined by

The Pst Bd Mysore
" Comptroller
Copy to the Education Secretary

WD 1883—GPB—1,000,00—21-12-22